

Assessment Form Design Checklist

Spot design flaws that undermine your data, frustrate faculty, or stall decision-making. Use this 7-point checklist to audit your assessment forms. Start by selecting 3–5 forms from your most active rotations. Score each the best practices. Investigate any "Needs Review" or "Error" issues flagged and prioritize fixes that impact accreditation, comparison, or user trust.

Category & Design Pitfall	Best Practices Criteria	Pass	Needs Review	Error
1. Rating Scales ▲ Pitfall: Inconsistent Likert or rubric scales	All forms use a consistent 5- or 7-point scale			
	Rubrics define each scale point clearly			
	Use consistent, context-specific thresholds			
2. Required Fields Pitfall: Mandatory field overload	Only core items are required			
	N/A or "Opt-Out" is available where appropriate			
3. Assessment Scope ▲ Pitfall: Blending event & faculty assessments	Forms separate course/site vs. instructor feedback			
	Naming conventions are clear and distinct			
4. Clarity of Instructions ▲ Pitfall: Vague or missing instructions	Each form includes embedded guidance			
	Complex questions include rubrics or examples			
5. Form Length ▲ Pitfall: Too long for meaningful use	Forms are reviewed and trimmed annually			
	Sampling used where appropriate			
6. Version Control ▲ Pitfall: No tracking of form changes	Form titles include version/year (e.g., "v2025.1")			
	Changes logged in a shared version control system			
7. Feedback & Revision Cycle ▲ Pitfall: No loop for improvements	Formal review cycle in place			
	Analytics (e.g., skip rates) inform updates			
	Feedback is collected from user			